arXiv:hep-th/9710170v1 21 Oct 1997

Planar QED in Magnetic or Electric Solitonic Backgrounds*

Gerald Dunne
Physics Department
University of Connecticut
Storrs, CT 06269 USA

Abstract

We review the resolvent technique for computing the effective action in planar QED. For static magnetic backgrounds the effective action yields (minus) the effective energy of the fermions, while for electric backgrounds the imaginary part of the effective action gives (half) the probability of fermion-antifermion pair creation. For some special 'solitonic' background profiles, these effective actions can be computed exactly.

Consider the parity-even part of the 1-loop effective action for fermions in $2+1$ dimensions in an external background electromagnetic field:

$$W = -\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\log\left\{m^2 - [\gamma\cdot(p-eA)]^2\right\} \tag{1}$$

Using a standard integral representation of the logarithm [1]

$$W = \frac{i}{2}\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,exp\left(-is\left\{m^2 - [\gamma\cdot(p-eA)]^2\right\}\right) \tag{2}$$

This representation, known as Schwinger's proper-time formalism, yields an elegant solution for backgrounds with constant field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$, because in this case the proper-time propagator, $\mathrm{Tr}\,exp(-is\{m^2 - [\gamma\cdot(p-eA)]^2\})$, has a simple closed form, which arises because the spectrum of the Dirac

*Talk at Solitons Conference, Kingston, Ontario, July 1997

operator is known exactly, and is purely discrete; so it is easy to take the trace over the spectrum. For more complicated backgrounds, the exact spectrum is not (in general) known, and even if it is known, it is no longer purely discrete; so a more sophisticated method is needed to perform the energy trace. The resolvent method discussed here provides such a method, and has led to exact results in certain special nonuniform backgrounds [2, 3] (see also [4]). Specifically, consider a background field that is independent of one of the coordinates, and for which the corresponding component of A_μ is zero. Take, for example, a case where $A_0 = 0$ and the remaining fields are independent of time. This is appropriate for representing a static, but possibly spatially nonuniform, magnetic background. Then the trace over p_0 separates out and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\rm mag} &\equiv -\frac{i}{2}{\rm Tr}\,\log\left\{\mathcal{D}-p_0^2\right\} = -i\int\frac{dp_0}{2\pi}{\rm tr}\left(\frac{p_0^2}{\mathcal{D}-p_0^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi i}\int_C d\lambda\,{\rm tr}\left(\frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{\mathcal{D}-\lambda}\right) = \frac{1}{2}{\rm tr}\,\mathcal{D}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

In the first line we performed a formal integration by parts, and in the last line we used Seeley's definition [5] of the power of an operator:

$$\mathcal{D}^r = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C \frac{\lambda^r}{\mathcal{D}-\lambda}\,d\lambda \tag{4}$$

Note the appearance in (3) of $1/(\mathcal{D}-\lambda)$, the "resolvent" of the operator $\mathcal{D}$. In (3), Tr includes the trace over p_0 while tr refers to the remaining traces: the Dirac trace and the spatial momenta corresponding to the eigenvalues of the static operator $\mathcal{D}$. Using a "Dirac" representation for the Gamma matrices, $\gamma^0 = \sigma^3$, $\gamma^1 = i\sigma^1$, $\gamma^2 = i\sigma^2$, $\mathcal{D}$ is diagonal:

$$\mathcal{D} = m^2 + (\vec{p} - e\vec{A})^2 + eF_{12}\sigma^3 \tag{5}$$

Note that the relation $W_{\rm mag} = \frac{1}{2}{\rm tr}\,\mathcal{D}^{1/2}$ simply expresses the fact that the static effective action is minus the effective energy; *i.e.* just a trace over the positive eigenvalues of the corresponding Dirac operator.

For a constant magnetic field we choose $A_\mu = (0, 0, Bx)$, in which case each diagonal component of $\mathcal{D}$ in (5) is a harmonic oscillator Schrödinger operator, with frequency $2eB$. Thus (including the overall Landau flux degeneracy factor $eBA/2\pi$)

$$W_{\rm mag} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{eBA}{2\pi}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{m^2+2eBn} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{m^2+2eB(n+1)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{A(2eB)^{3/2}}{8\pi}\left(\frac{|m|}{\sqrt{2eB}} + 2\zeta(-\frac{1}{2}, 1+\frac{m^2}{2eB})\right) \tag{6}$$

Restoring $\hbar$ and c, the governing dimensionless ratio is $\frac{eB\hbar}{m^2c^3}$, which is the ratio of the cyclotron energy scale $\hbar\omega_c$ to the fermion rest energy mc^2.

This same expression can be obtained using the resolvent method. Since $\mathcal{D}$ involves only ordinary (not partial) differential operators, it is a simple matter to find the resolvent (just the product of the two independent parabolic cylinder eigenfunctions, divided by their Wronskian, and traced):

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}-\lambda}\right) = -\frac{A}{4\pi}\left\{\psi\left(\frac{m^2-\lambda}{2eB}\right) + \psi\left(1+\frac{m^2-\lambda}{2eB}\right)\right\} \tag{7}$$

The psi function $[\psi(z) = (d/dz)log\Gamma(z)]$ has simple poles at the negative integers, so one reproduces (6) using the contour integral expression in (3). It is also an instructive exercise to compare with the more conventional Schwinger proper-time computation which yields an integral representation of the zeta function appearing in (6).

The resolvent technique is unnecessarily complicated in this constant field case, when $\mathcal{D}$ has a simple discrete spectrum. The power of this technique becomes apparent for nonuniform backgrounds, for which the spectrum of $\mathcal{D}$ is no longer purely discrete.

However, we first note that this formalism generalizes in a straightforward manner to background fields that are independent of one of the spatial coordinates (say x^1), and also $A_1 = 0$. The argument proceeds as before except for some (physically significant!) factors of i. This case is appropriate for describing a background electric field which may be time dependent.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathrm{elec}} &= -\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\log\left\{\mathcal{D}+p_1^2\right\} = i\int\frac{dp_1}{2\pi}\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{p_1^2}{\mathcal{D}+p_1^2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_C d\lambda\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{\lambda^{1/2}}{\mathcal{D}-\lambda}\right) = -\frac{i}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{D}^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In the "chiral" representation, $\gamma^0 = -\sigma^1$, $\gamma^1 = i\sigma^3$, $\gamma^2 = i\sigma^2$, $\mathcal{D}$ is diagonal:

$$\mathcal{D} = m^2 - (p_0 - eA_0)^2 + (p_2 - eA_2)^2 + ieF_{02}\sigma^3 \tag{9}$$

Notice that the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{D}$ are no longer real, and so W_{elec} is not purely real. Since the probability of *no* (fermion-antifermion) pair creation

is $|e^{iW}|^2 = e^{-2Im(W)} \approx 1 - 2Im(W)$, this means that the imaginary part of the effective action gives (half) the probability of pair creation [1]:

$$P(\text{pair creation}) \approx 2Im(W) \tag{10}$$

For a constant electric field take $A_\mu = (0, 0, Et)$; then each diagonal component of $\mathcal{D}$ in (9) is a harmonic oscillator Schrödinger operator with imaginary frequency $2ieE$. Then the effective action is given by a zeta function expression as in (6), with B replaced by iE. Using Hurwitz' representation of the zeta function [6], the imaginary part yields

$$Im(W_{\text{elec}}) = A\frac{(eE)^{3/2}}{8\pi^2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-nm^2\pi/(eE)}}{n^{3/2}} \tag{11}$$

In the constant electric field case the governing dimensionless ratio is $\frac{m^2c^3}{eE\hbar}$; essentially the ratio of the pair-creation threshold energy $2mc^2$ to the work done $eE\hbar/mc$ accelerating a charge e over a fermion Compton wavelength.

It is difficult to go beyond these constant field results (6,11). In the 'derivative expansion' [7, 8, 9] one assumes that the fields are 'slowly varying' with respect to a uniform background and expands formally in powers of derivatives. For special forms of the background, one can do better - one can find an exact integral representation [2] for the effective action for a static magnetic background with a "solitonic" profile:

$$B(x, y) = B\, sech^2(x/\lambda) \tag{12}$$

where B is a constant magnitude and λ is a length scale. This problem is solvable because the spectrum of the corresponding Dirac operator is known exactly, and because the resolvent approach provides an efficient method for tracing over both the discrete and continuum parts of the spectrum [2]. The dimensionless combination $eB\lambda^2$ describes how flat or sharp is the profile. In particular, when $eB\lambda^2 \to \infty$ one recovers the uniform field case. Correspondingly, the exact integral representation for the effective action can be expanded in an asymptotic expansion [2, 3]

$$W_{\text{mag}} = \tag{13}$$
$$-\frac{Lm^3\lambda}{8\pi}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j!(2eB\lambda^2)^j}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(2k+j-1)!\mathcal{B}_{2k+2j}}{(2k)!(2k+j-\frac{1}{2})(2k+j-\frac{3}{2})}\left(\frac{2eB}{m^2}\right)^{2k+j}$$

The $j = 0$ term in this sum agrees with the constant field answer, while the $j = 1$ term agrees with the first order derivative expansion contribution [10].

The general expansion (13) constitutes an *all-orders* derivative expansion answer. This result has recently been extended to QED_{3+1} in [11]. Also, an analytic continuation $B \to iE$ yields a similar expansion for a time-dependent (but spatially uniform) electric field

$$\vec{E}(t) = \vec{E}\, sech^2(t/\tau) \tag{14}$$

Some physical consequences of particle production rates in such a background will be discussed elsewhere.

Acknowledgements: This work has been supported by the DOE grant DE-FG02-92ER40716.00.

References

[1] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).

[2] D. Cangemi, E. D'Hoker and G. Dunne, Phys. Rev. D **52**, R3163 (1995).

[3] G. Dunne, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12**, 1143 (1997).

[4] A. Chodos, in *Gürsey Memorial Conference: Strings and Symmetries*, G. Aktas et al Eds., (Springer-Verlag, 1995).

[5] R. Seeley, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **10**, 288 (1967).

[6] A. Erdélyi et al. Eds. *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I (Krieger, Florida, 1981).

[7] I. Aitchison and C. Fraser, Phys. Lett. **146B**, 63 (1984), Phys. Rev. D **31**, 2605 (1985)

[8] H. Lee, P. Pac and H. Shin, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 4202 (1989).

[9] V. Gusynin and I. Shovkovy, *Can. J. Phys.* **74**, 282 (1996).

[10] D. Cangemi, E. D'Hoker and G. Dunne, Phys. Rev. D **51**, R2513 (1995).

[11] G. Dunne and T. Hall, "An Exact QED_{3+1} Effective Action", hep-th/9710062.